



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Results of a nationwide dermoscopy survey investigating the prevalence, advantages and disadvantages of dermoscopy use among Australian dermatologists

Supriya S Venugopal,1,2 H Peter Soyer5,4 and Scott W Menzies1,2

¹Sydney Melanoma Diagnostic Centre, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, ²Discipline of Dermatology, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, ⁵Department of Dermatology, Princess Alexandra Hospital, ⁴Dermatology Research Centre, The University of Queensland, School of Medicine, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

ABSTRACT

Background/Objectives: The primary objective of this survey was to assess the prevalence of use of dermoscopy by Australian dermatologists. The secondary objective was to understand the perceived advantages and disadvantages of dermoscopy use.

Methods: Invitation letters were sent to all 282 Australian dermatologists belonging to the Australasian College of Dermatology in 2008. The survey, investigating prevalence and perceptions of dermoscopy use, was completed either online or on paper.

Results: Ninety-nine of the 283 (55%) dermatologists completed the survey eligibly. A total of 98% of dermatologists reported using dermoscopy and 95% had received formal training. Only 2–3% found it not useful for the diagnosis of pigmented lesions, melanoma or atypical naevi, whereas 12% found it not useful for the diagnosis of non-pigmented tumours. Eighty-five percent found it improved diagnosis compared to naked eye examination; and 57% of dermatologists used baseline dermoscopy to follow up changes in lesions, of which 78% used some image capture device.

Conclusions: In the sample of Australian dermatologists agreeing to be surveyed, there was a high prevalence rate of dermoscopy use. The factors supporting the use of dermoscopy are explored in this foundational database of dermoscopy use among Australian dermatologists.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Australasian College of Dermatologists for assisting with emailing invitation letters to the dermatologists, the IDS for assistance with funding for this project, Mr Gerald Gabler for assistance with setting up the online survey, Dr Rajat Mittal for assistance with tele-

phone reminders, Mr Anuj Goel for assistance with statistical interpretation of results and the staff of the Sydney Melanoma Diagnostic Centre for assistance with postage and printing of the invitation letters.